

FAX COVER SHEET

The Information contained in this facsimile message, if a client of this firm is a named addressee, or the message is otherwise intended for a client, is presumptively legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not a named addressee, or if there is any reason to believe that you may have received this message in error, (1) do not read the message below; (2) do not distribute or copy this facsimile; and (3) please immediately call us collect at the number of the sender below.

TOTAL # OF PAGES: DATE: September 27, 2005 (INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET) TO: Examiner Sarah L. Purol FAX #: (571)-273-8300 USPTO FIRM NAME: PHONE #: (571) 272-6834 FROM: James A. Pinto FAX #: (303) 629-3450 PHONE #: (303) 629-3407 **EMAIL:** pinto.jim@dorsey.com

COMMENTS:

In Re Application of: Doug McCain Application No. 10/615,638 Filing Date: 7/8/03

For Wine Rack

Examiner: Sarah L. Purol

Art Unit: 3634

Attached please find the following:

- 1. Transmittal (one page);
- 2. Statement of the Substance of Interview under 37 CFR 1.133(b) (4 pages)

ORIGINAL WILL BE SENT VIA:	1 1	MAIL	E-MAIL	'-	MESSENGER		AIR COURIER	7	WILL NOT BE SENT
		DINTO A							
PLEASE CONTACT JAMES A. PINTO AT (303) 629-3407 IF THIS TRANSMISSION IS INCOMPLETE OR CANNOT BE READ.									

REFERENCE # 1882/US/2

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP · WWW.DORSEY.COM · T 303.629.3400 · F 303.629.3450
REPUBLIC PLAZA BUILDING · SUITE 4700 · 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET · DENVER, COLORADO 80202-5647

USA CANADA BUROPE ASIA

Ø 002/006

SEP 2 7 2005

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Tradement Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, no persona are required to respond to a collection of information unitess it displays a valid OMB control number.

Under the Paperwoo	rk Reduction Act of 1985, no	percona are required	to respond to a collection		Willow K Simplify P		
			Application Number		10/615,638; Confirmation 1429		
TRA	AL	Filing Date		7/8/03			
FORM			First Named Inven	tor	Doug McCain		
			Art Unit		3634		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name		Sarah L. Purol		
	eges in This Submission	4	Attorney Docket N	umber	1882/US		
		ENCLOS	URES (Check all)		^		
Fee Transmitt	al Form	Drawing		1	After Allowance Communication to TC		
	tached		q-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board		
Amendment /		Petition	3 10 2 2 2 7 2 7 2 7		of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC		
Amendment	горту				(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After	Final		to Convert to a nat Application		Proprietary Information		
Affida	Affidavits/declaration(s)		f Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence Add	tress	Slatus Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(6) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund			Statement of the Substance of Interview under 37 CFR 1.133(b) (4 PAGES)		
l		CD, Number of CD(s)			(4 PAGES)		
	Information Disclosure Statement		Landscape Table on C	.D			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Rémarks					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		The Director is hereby authorized to charge any additional fcc(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17; credit any overpayments to					
l`	y to Missing Parts under	Deposit Accoun	t No. 04-1415.				
37 0	FR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNATUI	RE OF APPLICA	ANT, ATTORNEY, C	R AGENT			
Firm Name DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP							
Signature			 				
Printed name	Printed name James A. Ploto						
Date				Reg. No.	40,774		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facalmile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the							
Signature		. <u>.</u> .					
Typed or printed nar	me		 	Date	9/27/05		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gethering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the tridividual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Officer, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER SEP 2 7 2005

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 1882/US/2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

DOUG MCCAIN

Examiner:

PUROL, Sarah L.

Serial No.:

10/615,638

Art Unit:

3634

Filing Date:

July 8, 2003

For:

WINE RACK

Statement of the Substance of Interview under 37 CFR 1.133(b)

MAILSTOP: AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents,

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b) and M.P.E.P. § 713.04, Applicant hereby provides the following Statement of the Substance of Interview as a written summary of the recent personal interview on August 30, 2005, between Examiner Sarah L. Purol, Applicant's attorney James A. Pinto and Applicant Doug McCain in the above-identified application.

A. Brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.

A demonstration was conducted using an example of a wine rack according to an embodiment of the present invention. The Exhibit included a pair of frame elements attached to a wall surface, each frame member having support members extending substantially perpendicularly therefrom, the support members having recessed portions for supporting wine

Serial No.:

10/615,638

Filed:

July 8, 2003

bottles. A set of wine bottles were placed in the rack to illustrate the general operation of an embodiment of the rack.

B. Identification of the claims discussed.

Claims 1, 6, and 9 were discussed.

C. Identification of the specific prior art discussed.

All of the prior art of record was reviewed and briefly discussed, including US Patent No. 4,998,631 to Fridjhon.

D. Identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.

The principle proposed amendments discussed included adding limitations to independent claims 1 and 6.

As to claim 1, the proposed amendments included reciting that the first support member and the second support member each have a fixed end and a free end, the fixed end attached to the respective frame elements; reciting that the second frame element is in a substantially parallel relationship with the first frame element; and reciting that the first and second support members support the wine bottle in a substantially parallel relation to the wall.

As to claim 6, the proposed amendments included reciting that the first pair of support members support at least a first and second wine bottle in a first horizontal row, each support member of the first pair of support members having a first recess for supporting a portion of the

Serial No.:

10/615,638

Filed:

July 8, 2003

first wine bottle and second recess for supporting a portion of the second wine bottle. The proposed amendments to claim 6 also included reciting that the second pair of support members for supporting at least a third and a fourth wine bottle are in a second horizontal row, each support member having a first recess for supporting a portion of the third wine bottle and a second recess for supporting a portion of the fourth wine bottle.

It was discussed that claims 9-20 should be cancelled without prejudice, for possible pursuit in a continuation application.

E. Brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner (the identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

The general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the Examiner were that the teachings of the prior art do not teach or suggest the elements recited in the proposed amendments to claims 1 and 6.

F. General indication of any other pertinent maters discussed.

It was also discussed that a Petition to Make Special based on Applicant's Health was granted in the present application.

Filed:

Serial No.: 10/615,638

July 8, 2003

If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview summary form completed by the examiner.

The Examiner indicated that the proposed claim language would be considered and that the Examiner would perform an updated prior art search. A specific agreement with respect to the claims was not reached.

	Respectfully submitted,
September, 2005	
	James A. Pinto, Attorney Registration No. 40,774 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP USPTO Customer No. 20686

4830-3883-6736\1